

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,349	03/16/2004	Joel F. Carpenter	BR-7283	3629	
75	7590 05/11/2006			EXAMINER	
Mr. Edgar E. Spielman, Jr. Patent & Trademark Division Albemarle Corporation			TUCKER, PHILIP C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
451 Florida Stre	451 Florida Street			1712	
Baton Rouge, LA 70801-1765			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/802,349	CARPENTER, JOEL F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip C. Tucker	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 F	ebruary 2006					
	s action is non-final.					
·	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	əd.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/802,349 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-13 and 16-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McNaughton (2006/0073216).

McNaughton teaches a composition which comprises the same sulfamate stabilized, bromine based derivative as in the current invention (see 0023, 0031 and 0032). Such may be used in a composition which comprises xanthan or guar at levels which would be inclusive of those of a fracturing fluid (see 0052 – 0054). Such would inherently act to reduce viscosity over time. Applicants intended use of the composition as a fracturing fluid is not distinguishing (In re Pearson 181 USPQ 641).

3. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,349 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 14 and 15 include a step of adding the breaker to a well, which is not taught by McNaughton..

5. Applicant,s amendment has distinguished over Moore, Yang, McKinnie and Nalepa which fail to teach the polysaccharide of the currently amended claim 24. Applicants Terminal Disclaimer has overcome the obviousness double patenting rejection. With respect to the rejection under 35 USC 103(a), it is agreed that oil field waters would not be inclusive of fracturing fluids, and thus the present invention would not be rendered obvious in view of such term. A new rejection is presented herein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C. Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,349

Art Unit: 1712

٠. . (ه

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-3968